Assessment for Quality of Life for Patients with Chronic Kidney Diseases in Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study

Amal Khaleel Abualhommos, MSc* Maitham Abdullah Al Hawaj, PhD*Abbas Abdullah Ali Al-Khalaif, BSc* Mohammed Abdulaziz Althuwaini, BSc* Mohammed Jawad Albasri, BSc* Mohammed Rayed Balghounim, BSc* Murtadha Jawad Alsaqer, BSc*

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Studying the quality of life (QoL) for patients with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is crucial as it provides insights into their well-being, treatment effectiveness, and healthcare needs. Understanding factors affecting QoL can lead to improved patient care and interventions tailored to enhance their overall quality of life.

Objective: To examine the QoL of patients with CKD in Saudi Arabia.

Method: An online survey study was conducted between December 2023 and March 2024 to collect data from CKD patients. The survey was distributed through various social media websites, targeting individuals diagnosed with CKD. Participants were asked about their demographics, CKD diagnosis, treatment, and various aspects of their quality of life. The survey aimed to gather insights into the factors influencing QoL among CKD patients.

Results: A total of 302 patients participated in this study. The majority of patients with CKD rated their life in general as "Good" (63.6%). Regarding enjoyment of life, most patients reported an "Average degree" (58.3%). The aspects of life with the highest negative impact on patients with CKD are daily activities (65.9%), work or study (60.9%), and psychological condition and balance (60.9%). Aspects that were more severely affected among patients with CKD are hypertension (27.2% severe), edema (20.9% severe), and shortness of breath (8.9% severe).

Conclusion: The study highlights the significant impact of CKD on patients' quality of life. Recommendations include tailored interventions to address the negative impact on daily activities, work or study, and psychological well-being. Healthcare providers should pay particular attention to managing hypertension, edema, and shortness of breath, which were identified as severely affecting patients.

Keywords: Chronic Kidney Disease; Quality of Life; Saudi Arabia; Survey

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is characterized by continuous declined kidney function or kidney damage for a minimum of three months, regardless of the underlying reason¹. CKD is a considerable global health concern²⁻⁴, with the increasing burden globally⁵. CKD affects 10% of the international population or more than 800 million individuals worldwide⁶. The number of Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) and the number of new cases of CKD has risen by more than twofold between 1990 and 2019, with the DALYs crossing 40 million⁵.

The increasing prevalence of diabetes, hypertension, and obesity are considerable contributors to the burden of CKD; these well-known traditional risk factors for CKD have a prominent role in the prevalence of CKD^{7.9}. In the Arab world, the prevalence of the three crucial risk factors for CKD – obesity, hypertension, and diabetes – is notably high, exceeding levels seen in other regions¹⁰. In Saudi Arabia, there is an expanding crisis of CKD due to an elevated prevalence of risk factors like hypertension and diabetes¹¹; there has been a significant increase in diabetes cases, and about 22.7% of the population is affected by hypertension¹². A prior study conducted in Saudi Arabia found that 82.7% of CKD patients were either obese or overweight¹³. CKD is estimated to affect about 24% of the population in Saudi Arabia¹⁴. Still, there remains a poor level of public awareness and understanding of CKD in Saudi Arabia¹⁵.

There are often serious consequences linked to CKD, which involve increased risk for morbidity, mortality, CVD, acute kidney injury, healthcare costs, and compromised quality of life (QoL)¹⁶⁻¹⁸. CKD impacts a wide range of perspectives, from the patient's QOL to the national development, overall societal, and family income¹⁹⁻²². Advanced stages of the disease pose a significant threat to the QoL of people²³.

The quality of treatment is strongly linked to QoL for patients suffering from end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and CKD. The adaptation to CKD represents a complex interaction of social, psychological, and physical factors, which considerably affect the outcomes for the patient²⁴. Health-related QoL (HRQOL) has often been found to decrease in patients suffering from chronic diseases like CKD. These observations underline the development of HRQOL advancement as a goal of healthcare and research for these diseases²⁵. Measurement of HRQOL will aid patients in comprehending their illness and the potential effects of their therapy on their health²⁶.

Assessment of the QoL of CKD patients is necessary for determining the broader impacts of the disease and guiding improvements in patient care. In Saudi Arabia, the prevalence of CKD and its risk factors is remarkably high; hence, knowing how CKD impacts the QoL of the affected patients is critical. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the QoL for patients with CKD in Saudi Arabia.

*

Pharmacy Practice Department Clinical Pharmacy College, King Faisal University, Alhasa, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: aabualhomos@kfu.edu.sa

METHODS

Study design

An online survey study was conducted between December 2023 and March 2024 to collect data from CKD patients.

Sampling procedure

Convenience sampling technique was employed to recruit the sample for this investigation. This method of sampling falls under the classification of non-probability sampling. This research comprised eligible patients who met our inclusion criteria and were willing to participate. On the first page of the questionnaire, patients were presented with an informed consent form and given the option to continue or discontinue. To ensure that the patients understood the significance of their involvement, the study's objectives were presented in detail. In the invitation letter for the study, the inclusion criteria were specified.

Study population and recruitment

This study's population consisted of patients with CKD who were 18 years or older. There were no exclusion criteria based on gender, age, severity or disease duration. The survey link was shared on social media platforms to invite those with CKD to participate.

Questionnaire tool

The questionnaire tool for this study was developed based on previous literature. The questionnaire tool examined patients' demographic characteristics (age, area of residency, gender, education, employment status, family monthly income, marital status, and disease history). Besides, the questionnaire tool collected information related to patients' quality of life, the impact of chronic kidney disease on aspects of life, the impact of illness on aspects of physical health, and evaluated treatment therapy aspects.

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia (KFU-REC-2023- NOV – ETHICS1628). This study was performed in accordance with the principles stated in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave their consent before being involved in this study.

Statistical analysis

This study's data were analyzed using version 29 of the SPSS program. Descriptive statistics were used to present the study findings. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages.

RESULTS

Table 1 below show patients' demographic characteristics. A total of 302 patients participated in this study. The vast majority of the patients (91.4%) were aged 51 years and older. Around 79.1% of the patients reported that they live in the Western region of Saudi Arabia. The majority of the patients (75.2%) were males. More than half of the patients (53.0%) reported that they have bachelor degree. Around 41.4% of the patients reported that they work outside the healthcare sector. Around half of the patients (50.3%) reported that their family monthly income level is more than 7500 SAR. Around 63.6% of the patients were married. The vast majority of the patients (94.7%) reported that they have other comorbidities.

Table 1. Patients' demographic characteristics

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Age groups		
18-25 years	2	0.7%
26-35 years	5	1.7%
55-36 years	19	6.3%
51 years and older	276	91.4%
Area of residency		
Eastern region	13	4.3%
Western region	239	79.1%
Central region	7	2.3%
Northern region	22	7.3%
Southern region	21	7.0%
Gender		
Females	75	24.8%
Males	227	75.2%
Education:		
Primary school or lower	59	19.6%
Intermediate school	12	4.0%
High school	51	16.9%
Bachelor degree	160	53.0%
Higher education	20	6.6%
Employment status		
Student	4	1.3%
Retired	91	30.1%
Unemployed	69	22.8%
Working in the healthcare sector	13	4.3%
Working outside the healthcare sector	125	41.4%
Family monthly income		
2500 SAR and lower	57	18.9%
2501-5000 SAR	41	13.6%
5001- 7500 SAR	52	17.2%
More than 7500 SAR	152	50.3%
Marital status		
Married	192	63.6%
Divorced	23	7.6%
Single	19	6.3%
Widowed	68	22.5%
Do you have comorbidities?		
Yes	286	94.7%

Patients' quality of life

Table 2 below show patients' quality of life. The majority of patients with CKD rated their life in general as "Good" (63.6%) or "Very good" (17.5%). Regarding enjoyment of life, most patients reported an "Average degree" (58.3%) or "A lot" (31.8%) of enjoyment. In terms of satisfaction with how they spend their time, the majority were either "Satisfied" (81.1%) or "Very satisfied" (13.2%), with a minority stating they were "Not satisfied" (5.6%). Before being diagnosed with CKD, most patients rated their overall health as "Good" (60.3%) or "Excellent" (11.6%). After the CKD diagnosis, fewer patients reported feeling better, with only 5.6% stating they "Feel much better" and 20.2% saying they "Feel better." A larger percentage (42.1%) reported "No change" in their health, while a substantial portion (32.1%) indicated their condition had worsened.

Table 2. Patients' quality of life

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
How do you evaluate your life	in general?	-
Very good	53	17.5%
Good	192	63.6%
Neutral	50	16.6%
Bad	6	2.0%
Very bad	1	0.3%
How much do you enjoy life?		
Extreme degree	2	0.7%
A lot	96	31.8%
Average degree	176	58.3%
A little	28	9.3%
How satisfied are you with the	e way you spend your t	ime?
Very satisfied	40	13.2%
Satisfied	245	81.1%
Not satisfied	17	5.6%
How did you rate your overall	l health before you we	re diagnosed
with chronic kidney disease?		
Excellent	35	11.6%
Good	182	60.3%

chronic kidney disease?		
How do you rate your overall hea	alth after being o	diagnosed with
Not acceptable	4	1.3%
Acceptable	81	26.8%
Good	182	60.3%

I feel much better	17	5.6%
I feel better	61	20.2%
No change	127	42.1%
My condition worsened	97	32.1%

The impact of chronic kidney disease on aspects of life

Table 3 below presents patients' response to items that examined the impact of chronic kidney disease on aspects of life. The aspects of life with the highest negative impact on patients with CKD are daily activities (65.9%), work or study (60.9%), and psychological condition and balance (60.9%). Other aspects significantly affected include daily vital energy (62.6%), sleep quality (50.7%), and focus and mental health (50.3%). Additionally, the quantity and type of food (47.0%) and travel and leisure activities (55.3%) are areas where patients report notable negative impacts. Conversely, aspects such as marital relationship (32.8%), quantity and type of fluids (52.6%), and religious activities (61.6%) are perceived more positively, with lower negative impacts.

Life aspect	Negative impact	No impact	Positive impact
Work or study	60.9%	37.1%	2.0%
Personal care	33.8%	63.9%	2.3%
Sleep quality	50.7%	48.7%	0.7%
Psychological condition and balance	60.9%	36.4%	2.6%
Daily activities	65.9%	30.8%	3.3%
Quantity and type of food	47.0%	32.1%	20.9%
Quantity and type of fluids	20.9%	26.5%	52.6%
Relationships and social activities	23.2%	50.3%	26.5%
Your personal hobbies	21.9%	67.2%	10.9%
Marital relationship	15.6%	51.7%	32.8%

Mobility	16.6%	80.1%	3.3%
Daily vital energy	62.6%	28.5%	8.9%
Focus and mental health	50.3%	39.4%	10.3%
Travel and leisure activities	55.3%	33.1%	11.6%
Religious activities such as prayer and fasting	20.9%	17.5%	61.6%

The impact of illness on aspects of physical health

Table 4 below presents the impact of illness on aspects of physical health. Aspects that were more severely affected among patients with CKD are hypertension (27.2% severe), edema (20.9% severe), and shortness of breath (8.9% severe). These aspects show a higher percentage of severe impact compared to others such as pain (6.3% severe), weight (8.3% severe), anemia (2.6% severe), and bone health (3.6% severe).

Table 4. 7	The impact	of illness on	aspects of	physical health
------------	------------	---------------	------------	-----------------

	1	1 1 2	
Variable	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Pain	57.6%	36.1%	6.3%
Weight	56.0%	35.8%	8.3%
Anemia	83.4%	13.9%	2.6%
Bone	78.8%	17.5%	3.6%
Shortness of breath	61.6%	29.5%	8.9%
Edema	39.7%	39.4%	20.9%
Hypertension	36.8%	36.1%	27.2%

Evaluation of treatment therapy aspects

Table 5 below presents patients' evaluation of treatment therapy aspects. The majority of patients reported feeling better (55.6%) due to the medications, with a smaller percentage indicating no change (38.7%) and a few feeling that their health is deteriorating (5.6%). In terms of the number of medications, most patients reported taking either a moderate number (4-6 medications, 43.4%) or a few (1-3 medications, 49.3%), with fewer reporting taking too many (7 and above, 7.3%). Regarding side effects, the majority did not suffer from any side effects (58.6%), while some reported symptoms that did not affect their quality of life (29.8%), and a smaller percentage suffered from side effects that negatively impacted their lives (11.6%). Financially, most patients were fully insured for their medicines (85.4%). Treatment availability was reported as always available for a majority of patients (64.9%), with fewer indicating it was sometimes available (33.4%) and very few reporting it as not available (1.7%). Adherence to treatment was high, with 79.1% of patients always committing to it. Most patients reported knowing some information (52.0%) or everything (43.4%) related to the instructions for using medications. Ease of swallowing pills was rated as easy by the majority (61.6%), while 35.4% found it moderate and 3.0% found it difficult. Medication frequency was reported as moderate (2-3 times) by the majority (56.0%), followed by low (once, 39.7%), and high (4 or more times, 4.3%).

Table 5. Evaluation of treatment therapy aspects

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
The effect of medications on my life i	n general:	
I feel better	168	55.6%
I don't feel any change	117	38.7%
I feel that my health is deteriorating	17	5.6%
Number of medications:		
Too much (7 and above)	22	7.3%
Moderate (4-6)	131	43.4%

Little (1-3)	149	49.3%
Side effects associated with the medicat	tion:	
I do not suffer from any side effects.	177	58.6%
I suffer from symptoms, but they do not affect the quality of my life.	90	29.8%
I suffer from side effects that affect my life negatively.	35	11.6%
Financial cost of medicines:		
Not-insured	21	7.0%
Partially-insured	23	7.6%
Full insured	258	85.4%
Availability of treatment:		
Not available	5	1.7%
Sometimes available	101	33.4%
Always available	196	64.9%
Adherence to treatment:		
I don't commit	10	3.3%
Sometimes, I commit	53	17.5%
I always commit	239	79.1%
Receive instructions for using medicati	ons:	
I don't know it	14	4.6%
I know some information	157	52.0%
I know everything related to it	131	43.4%
Ease of swallowing pills (pill size):		
Easy	186	61.6%
Moderate	107	35.4%
Difficult	9	3.0%
Medications frequency:		
Low (once)	120	39.7%
Moderate (2-3 times)	169	56.0%
High (4 or more)	13	4.3%

DISCUSSION

In our study, most participants rated their general life as "Good" or "Very good" (81.1%). This high level of QoL differs from the findings in earlier studies across the globe. These indicate that cultural, social, and healthcare-related factors may impact CKD patients' experiences.

For instance, in India, only 24.3% of CKD patients reported their general QoL as good or very good, and overall satisfaction with general health was rated as satisfied or very satisfied among 34.3% of patients²⁷. A prior study conducted in Thailand found that CKD patients' QoL at CKD clinics has to be enhanced²⁸. A significant decline in QoL was reported across all stages of CKD by studies from India²⁹, Nigeria³⁰, Ethiopia³¹, and Brazil¹⁷, suggesting a more marked adverse impact of CKD in these populations compared to our study population. In Malaysia, the advanced CKD stages are associated with lower HRQOL scores³². Moreover, QoL degraded in all stages of CKD in hospitalized patients in Saudi Arabia³³. These underline the importance of contextual factors, including quality of healthcare services, systems of social support, and cultural attitudes toward disease, which may play a significant role in patients' QoL and life satisfaction perceptions.

The high QoL in our study in Saudi Arabia is probably related to several crucial factors. Social support has decreased fatigue and improved sleep quality among hemodialysis (HD) patients in Saudi Arabia³⁴, which may increase their overall QoL. Furthermore, spiritual coping and religiosity, in Saudi culture, may associated with better HRQOL in health dimensions among HD patients³⁵. Another reason for this is the high quality of care in Saudi Arabia. A significant ratio of HD patients

in Saudi Arabia is ministered by the Ministry of Health in collaboration with external dialysis centers³⁶, where quality standards are assured. Moreover, a prior study highlighted that education programs influence several HRQOL dimensions positively³⁷.

In our study, treatment adherence was high. Besides, most patients reported knowing some information or everything related to the instructions for using medications. This high level of adherence is of utmost importance for CKD management and is related to better health outcomes and improved QoL. Different prior studies underline that adherence to treatment plays a crucial role in chronic disease care³⁸⁻⁴². A previous investigation among patients with chronic disorders in Saudi Arabia found that they have moderate medication adherence levels⁴⁰. This is slightly lower than the adherence level among our participants, which could be due to several factors, including education level, clinical characteristics, psychological, and sociodemographics, which were established to affect treatment adherence³⁸⁻⁴⁰.

Medications non-adherence frequently connected with upsurge in hospitalization and deterioration of disorder⁴². Besides, an earlier study demonstrated that medications non-adherence may lead to a rise in therapeutic complexity and a worsening QoL⁴¹. Therefore, high adherence to treatment regimens is essential to improve health outcomes and reduce the burden on health systems. In this consideration, educational programs had a crucial role in improving treatment adherence and QOL of CKD patients⁴³.

In our study, the majority reported feeling better due to medications. Most patients reported taking a moderate number or a few medications. Besides, the majority did not suffer from any side effects. Still, some reported symptoms that did not affect their OoL and a smaller percentage suffered from side effects that negatively impacted their lives. The positive response to medications highlights the positive medication consequences on the QoL in CKD patients while emphasizing the importance of medication management in minimizing the emergence of adverse effects. Optimizing medication regimens and encouraging collaboration with healthcare providers may improve patient outcomes and enhance the QoL for CKD patients. Previous studies have shown the importance of safely using medication in CKD, commenting on the complexity of medication management as kidney function lessens⁴⁴. It supports that medication is key in the prevention of complications (like heart attacks) and slowing the progression of CKD45. Additionally, it refers to the persistent medication-related problems experienced by HD patients and how pharmacists play a significant role in managing these problems⁴⁶.

Our study also showed that the majority were "Satisfied" or "Very satisfied" with how they spend their time, with a total of 94.3%, which implies that there is activity carried out to keep the perspective of CKD patients positive in the region. Align with our findings, a prior study showed that life satisfaction was moderate to high among HD patients in Jordan⁴⁷. On the contrary, previous studies reported that CKD patients' daily lives, work or study, and psychological conditions were negatively affected⁴⁸⁻⁵¹. These contrasts may result from differences in the availability of social and recreational activities, patterns of care, and cultural attitudes toward chronic illness, suggesting that CKD patients in Saudi Arabia and Jordan could have better support systems and be more involved in fulfilling activities. The unique cultural practices in religious activities may play a critical role in increased satisfaction with practices time spent by CKD patients. Besides, exercise counseling and programs can benefit CKD patients. According to studies, exercise may enhance the physical capacity of CKD patients irrespective of the treatment they receive52.

In our study, most rated their overall health as "Good" or "Excellent" (71.9%) before diagnosis. Fewer reported feeling better after diagnosis, with a larger percentage indicating no change or worsening health. This change in health perception reflects the serious influence of CKD diagnosis on the life and mental health of patients. Consistent with our findings, patients often face mental health problems after being diagnosed with CKD, as indicated by several studies⁵³. Symptoms do not appear in the early stages of CKD (appearing in stages 4 or 5)^{54,55}, coupled with a lack of public understanding of kidney disorders⁵⁵, making it complex for individuals to understand and accept the diagnosis of CKD⁵⁶. Diagnosis of a chronic condition to those individuals who felt very well and were leading a healthy life comes as a shock, getting with it a range of emotions, including anger, depression, anxiety, and fear⁵⁷.

Coping strategies play a crucial role in the management of the emotional and psychological impacts of a CKD diagnosis. Adaptive coping strategies are marked by positive decision-making to ensure favorable results⁵⁸. These enable the patient to take an active and constructive role in managing their condition. Maladaptive coping strategies are marked by negative behaviors that exacerbate the original stressor and have destructive outcomes on the patient's health⁵⁸. The worsening health status in our study upon diagnosis may be partly due to some of the patient's adoption of maladaptive coping strategies. Previous studies indicate that in end-stage CKD patients, a low HRQOL is significantly linked to raised mortality risk and declined treatment compliance, independent of kidney function⁵⁹⁻⁶¹.

It is necessary to screen people who are at increased CKD risk despite not showing symptoms, which will permit earlier detection⁶² and then reduce the psychological and mental distress related to CKD. A prior study⁶³ showed that earlier detection of CKD, particularly at stage 3, will lead to improved monitoring and management approaches, which can decrease the adverse consequences and delay the progression of CKD.

In our study, daily activities, work or study, and psychological condition were aspects most negatively impacted. Other significantly affected areas include daily vital energy, sleep quality, focus, mental health, quantity and type of food, and travel and leisure activities. These findings agree with prior studies showing that CKD impacts multiple patients' life-related dimensions^{29,64-68}. High levels of psychological condition are prevalent in CKD patients due to consequent influences on employment, lifestyle, and relationships, the treatment burden, and reduced cognitive and physical function^{69,70}. Fatigue impacts the daily activities of CKD patients regardless of whether patients are in the predialysis stage or receiving HD or PD71. Sleep disorders are common among CKD patients and influence the health system and patients⁷². Geographic and sociodemographic are among the factors determining food intake among patients with CKD73. Also, a considerable number of differences between CKD and non-CKD patients exist in the intake of some food groups or even within CKD patients, depending on the type of treatment received73. HD patients experience significant lifestyle restrictions on personal travel and leisure that impact QoL⁷⁴. Such challenges would require a comprehensive approach to their medical management, psychological support, nutritional counseling, and social interventions. All these would significantly improve the QoL in patients with CKD.

On the other hand, in our investigation, aspects like marital relationship, amount and type of fluids, and religious activities are perceived more positively and less negatively. Indeed, good marital relationships, proper fluid management, and religious activities highlight the need to integrate such supportive factors into the healthcare process of patients with CKD. Health professionals must consider the role of social support, individualized plans for fluid management, and the incorporation of religious practices into care. By handling these aspects, health professionals will be able to increase the overall QoL of CKD patients, enabling them to cope better with their condition and lead a better QoL.

Our findings align with the literature that describes having a permanent partner or being married as positively associated with mental QoL in advanced CKD patients (stages 4 and 5)⁷⁵ and that more reasonable health and QoL, enhanced disease management practices, and better adaptive perceptions of disease for the patients and their partners are associated with elevated marital quality levels⁷⁶⁻⁸⁰. These relationships provide emotional support, improve mental well-being, and help patients cope better with their illness.

Regarding the religious activities aspect, the literature has established the role of religiosity on HRQOL for HD patients in Saudi Arabia³⁵. For such patients, incorporating religion into the healthcare process is favorably recommended, as it eases the accomplishment of optimal levels of health³⁵. Engaging in religious activity may give emotional comfort and provide a sense of community and support, contributing to better mental and emotional well-being.

CKD patients should have careful fluid management to avoid complications. Compliance with dietary fluid and salt restrictions in CKD is a multifaceted problem with environmental, cultural, individual, and social impacts⁸¹. A correct amount of daily fluid intake must be ensured to diminish fluid overload-related symptoms (such as edema, heart failure, hypertension, abdominal bloating, headaches, and dyspnea) and maintain the stability of kidney functions^{82,83}. According to prior case studies, fluid restriction measures are advised to be utilized by nurses to decrease fluid volume overload risk among CKD patients⁸⁴.

Our study revealed that in patients with CKD, hypertension, edema, and shortness of breath were more seriously affected compared to pain, weight, anemia, and bone health. The finding reflects the established impact of CKD on the cardiovascular and fluid balance systems^{85,86}. Hypertension is both a cause and a consequence of CKD⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹, thus creating a vicious cycle that accelerates damage to the kidneys⁹⁰. Moreover, hypertension is noted to be a common comorbidity in CKD patients^{91,92}. In CKD patients, management of hypertension eases the risk of developing cardiovascular disorders and delays the progression of kidney damage⁹³.

Furthermore, hypertension, edema, and shortness of breath commonly arise due to fluid overload⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶. Because CKD decreases GFR, it impairs water homeostasis⁹⁷, resulting in fluid retention in the body. Fluid overload is a prevalent complication in mild to late stages of CKD^{94,98}. It has been considered a significant determinant of impairment of QoL⁹⁹. In the treatment of fluid overload and related diseases, such as edema and hypertension, diuretics are used¹⁰⁰. Despite the beneficial effect, diuretics are reported to be associated with adverse renal outcomes¹⁰¹. Accordingly, proper fluid management and implementation of medical guidelines are crucial to reduce the burden of symptoms and maximize QoL in CKD patients. Improved fluid management with the education and support of the healthcare staff will ensure optimum health.

Pain is prevalent in CKD patients compared to the public population, and indeed, above 60% of HD patients report symptoms of moderate to severe chronic pain^{102,103}. Chronic pain is associated with declined QOL¹⁰⁴. CKD is associated with complex adverse physiological and metabolic changes that cause malnutrition, weight change, anemia, bone diseases,

and other related conditions¹⁰⁵⁻¹¹². Although hypertension, edema, and shortness of breath are more affected, pain management, changes in weight, anemia, and bone health are critical to improving QoL for patients with CKD. Therefore, there is a need for a comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach to manage these health aspects, involving collaboration between a nephrologist, dietitian, physiotherapist, and mental health professional.

CONCLUSION

The study highlights the significant impact of CKD on patients' quality of life. Recommendations include tailored interventions to address the negative impact on daily activities, work or study, and psychological well-being. Healthcare providers should pay particular attention to managing hypertension, edema, and shortness of breath, which were identified as severely affecting patients.

Author Contributions

A.K.A supervised this study in term of methodology, statistical analysis and rafting. All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding: No fund was received for this study.

Ethics Approval and Informed Consent:

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia (KFU-REC-2023- NOV – ETHICS1628). This study was performed in accordance with the principles stated in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave their consent before being involved in this study.

Potential Conflicts of Interest: None

Competing Interest: None

Acceptance Date: 11-07-2024

REFERENCES

- Levey AS, Eckardt KU, Dorman NM, et al. Nomenclature for kidney function and disease: report of a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Consensus Conference. Kidney Int 2020;97(6):1117-1129.
- Yang CW, Harris DCH, Luyckx VA, et al. Global case studies for chronic kidney disease/end-stage kidney disease care. Kidney Int 2020;10(1):24-48.
- 3. Luyckx VA, Cherney DZI, Bello AK. Preventing CKD in Developed Countries. Kidney Int Rep 2020;5(3):263-277.
- 4. Trifirò G, Sultana J, Giorgianni F, et al. Chronic kidney disease requiring healthcare services: a new approach to evaluate epidemiology of renal disease. Biomed Res Int 2014;2014(1):1-26.
- Ying M, Shao X, Qin H, et al. Disease Burden and Epidemiological Trends of Chronic Kidney Disease at the Global, Regional, National Levels from 1990 to 2019. Nephron 2024;148(2):113-123.
- 6. Kovesdy CP. Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease: an update 2022. Kidney Int 2022;12(1):7-11.

- Nugent RA, Fathima SF, Feigl AB, et al. The burden of chronic kidney disease on developing nations: a 21st century challenge in global health. Nephron Clin Pract 2011;118(3):269-277.
- Luyckx VA, Tuttle KR, Garcia-Garcia G, et al. Reducing major risk factors for chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int 2017;7(2):71-87.
- 9. Coresh J. Update on the Burden of CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017;28(4):1020-1022.
- 10. Farag YM, Kari JA, Singh AK. Chronic kidney disease in the Arab world: a call for action. Nephron Clin Pract 2012;121(3):120-123.
- Nasri H, Rafieian-Kopaei M. Diabetes mellitus and renal failure: Prevention and management. J Res Med Sci 2015;20(11):1112-1120.
- Alshammari SA, Alshammari AS, Alshammari HS, et al. Overview of hypertension in Saudi Arabia: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Saudi Med J 2023;44(10):951-964.
- Aljabri D. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and it's risk factors in Saudi Adults. Jour Medi Pract and Rev 2018;2(10):1-10.
- 14. Alshammari B, Noble H, McAneney H, et al. An exploration of symptom burden and its management, in Saudi Arabian patients receiving haemodialysis, and their caregivers: a mixed methods study protocol. BMC Nephrol 2019;20(1):250-255.
- Alsuwaida AO, Farag YM, Al Sayyari AA, et al. Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (SEEK-Saudi investigators) - a pilot study. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2010;21(6):1066-1072.
- Freeman C, Giles L, Field P, et al. Humanistic burden and economic impact of chronic kidney disease: a systematic literature review. F1000Research 2019;8(1):2142-2149.
- Cruz MC, Andrade C, Urrutia M, et al. Quality of life in patients with chronic kidney disease. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2011;66(6):991-995.
- Vigil A, Condés E, Camacho R, et al. Predictors of a rapid decline of renal function in patients with chronic kidney disease referred to a nephrology outpatient clinic: a longitudinal study. Adva Nephrol 2015;2015(1):1-11.
- Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2020;395(10225):709-733.
- Nicholas SB, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Norris KC. Socioeconomic disparities in chronic kidney disease. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 2015;22(1):6-15.
- 21. Morton RL, Schlackow I, Gray A, et al. Impact of CKD on Household Income. Kidney Int Rep 2018;3(3):610-618.
- Tannor EK, Norman BR, Adusei KK, et al. Quality of life among patients with moderate to advanced chronic kidney disease in Ghana - a single centre study. BMC Nephrol 2019;20(1):122-128.
- 23. Boudreau JE, Dubé A. Quality of life in end stage renal disease: a concept analysis. Cannt j 2014;24(1):12-20.
- 24. Fructuoso M, Castro R, Oliveira L, et al. Quality of life in chronic kidney disease. Nefrologia 2011;31(1):91-96.
- 25. Maynié-François C, Burtey S. Comparing health-related quality of life in chronic diseases: the importance of analyzing references. Natu Revi Dise Prim 2019;5(1):1-28.
- 26. Fayers PM, Machin D. Scores and measurements: validity, reliability, sensitivity. Quality of Life: the assessment, analysis and interpretation of patient-reported outcomes. Medicina 2007;77(1):108-111.
- 27. Jacob SR, Kannan S, Raveendran R, et al. Quality of life in chronic kidney disease: a community perspective using world health organization quality of life: BREF questionnaire. Inter Jour Comm Med Puble 2020;7(10): 1-11.
- 28. Taptagaporn S, Mongkolsomlit S, Rakkapao N, et al. Quality of life among patients Suffering from chronic kidney disease in chronic

kidney disease clinic of Thailand. OpePub Heal 2021;14(1): 1-11.

- Sharma S, Kalra D, Rashid I, et al. Assessment of Health-Related Quality of Life in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients: A Hospital-Based Cross-Sectional Study. Medicina (Kaunas) 2023;59(10): 1-8.
- Ademola BL, Obiagwu PN, Aliyu A. Assessment of health-related quality of life of chronic kidney disease patients in aminu kano teaching hospital, Kano. Niger J Clin Pract 2020;23(7):906-911.
- 31. Kefale B, Alebachew M, Tadesse Y, et al. Quality of life and its predictors among patients with chronic kidney disease: A hospital-based cross sectional study. PLoS One 2019;14(2): 1-12.
- Kharshid AM, Sulaiman SAS, Saadh MJ. Health-related quality of life in chronic kidney disease patients: A cross-sectional study. Malay 2020;176(33): 1-17.
- Jalal SM, Beth MRM, Bo Khamseen ZM. Impact of Hospitalization on the Quality of Life of Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease in Saudi Arabia. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19(15): 1-11.
- Alshammari B, Alkubati SA, Pasay-An E, et al. The influence of social support on sleep and fatigue level among patients receiving hemodialysis in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional correlational design. Front Psychol 2023;14(1272500): 1-10.
- 35. Cruz JP, Colet PC, Alquwez N, et al. Influence of religiosity and spiritual coping on health-related quality of life in Saudi haemodialysis patients. Hemodial Int 2017;21(1):125-132.
- 36. Al Attar B. Renal replacement therapy in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2020;31(6):1458-1469.
- Bakarman MA, Felimban MK, Atta MM, et al. The effect of an educational program on quality of life in patients undergoing hemodialysis in western Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2019;40(1):66-71.
- Ibrahim N, Chiew-Thong NK, Desa A, et al. Depression and coping in adults undergoing dialysis for end-stage renal disease. Asia Pac Psychiatry 2013;5(1):35-40.
- 39. Al Atawi AA, Alaamri MM, Almutary HH. The relationship between perceived social support and adherence to treatment regimens among patients undergoing hemodialysis: A scoping review. Evid Nur Res 2022;4(1):17-17.
- 40. Fallatah MS, Alghamdi GS, Alzahrani AA, et al. Insights Into Medication Adherence Among Patients With Chronic Diseases in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study. Cureus 2023;15(4): 1-8.
- Cheryl SDm, Spandana M, Nayana R. A Prospective Observational Study to Assess Medication Adherence and Health Related Quality of Life in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients in a Tertiary Care Hospital. Jour Drug Deli Ther 2023;13(12):71-83.
- Tayebi A, Einollahi B, Rahimi A, et al. Non-adherence to Treatment Among Iranian Dialysis Patients, A Systematic Review. Iran J Kidney Dis 2019;13(6):347-361.
- 43. Rahdar Z, Haghighi MJ, Mansouri A, et al. Probing the relationship between treatment regimen compliance and the quality of life in hemodialysis patients: A descriptive-analytic study. Medi-Surgl Nurs 2019;8(2): 1-11.
- Matzke GR, Aronoff GR, Atkinson AJ, et al. Drug dosing consideration in patients with acute and chronic kidney disease-a clinical update from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int 2011;80(11):1122-1137.
- Org I. Cologne, Germany: Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG). Depression: What Is Burnout?. Medi-Surgl Nurs 2006;8(2): 1-11.
- Manley HJ, Cannella CA, Bailie GR, et al. Medication-related problems in ambulatory hemodialysis patients: a pooled analysis. Am J Kidney Dis 2005;46(4):669-680.
- 47. Khalil AA, Darawad M, Al Gamal E, et al. Predictors of dietary and fluid non-adherence in Jordanian patients with end-stage renal

disease receiving haemodialysis: a cross-sectional study. J Clin Nurs 2013;22(1):127-136.

- Wolpert EZ, Norman S, Eek D, et al. How do patients experience chronic kidney disease? A mixed-methods study among patients in Sweden. Jour Publ Hea 2023; 22(4): 1-7.
- 49. Murray PD, Dobbels F, Lonsdale DC, et al. Impact of endstage kidney disease on academic achievement and employment in young adults: a mixed methods study. J Adolesc Health 2014;55(4):505-512.
- Alma MA, van der Mei SF, Brouwer S, et al. Sustained employment, work disability and work functioning in CKD patients: a crosssectional survey study. J Nephrol 2023;36(3):731-743.
- Frandsen CE, Dieperink H, Trettin B, et al. A life-changing process when living with chronic kidney disease: A qualitative study. J Ren Care 2023; 11 (1): 1-8.
- 52. Clarkson MJ, Bennett PN, Fraser SF, et al. Exercise interventions for improving objective physical function in patients with endstage kidney disease on dialysis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2019;316(5):856-872.
- 53. Thejavathi U, Talwar P. End Stage Kidney Disease: A Brief Review on the Quality of Life and Death Anxiety Among Patients. Inter Jour Resea Eng Sci Manag 2023;6(8):45-50.
- 54. Vaidya SR, Aeddula NR. Chronic kidney disease. StatPearls 2022;70(6):656-669.
- 55. Slevin J, Taylor A. Understanding what the public know about their kidneys and what they do. Findings from Ipsos MORI survey. PLOS One 2014; 7(5):56-65.
- Teasdale EJ, Leydon G, Fraser S, et al. Patients' Experiences After CKD Diagnosis: A Meta-ethnographic Study and Systematic Review. Am J Kidney Dis 2017;70(5):656-665.
- 57. Martz E, Livneh H, Wright B. Coping with chronic illness and disability. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2007; 1(1): 1-36.
- Poulus D, Coulter TJ, Trotter MG, et al. Stress and Coping in Esports and the Influence of Mental Toughness. Front Psychol 2020;11(1):62-69.
- McClellan WM, Abramson J, Newsome B, et al. Physical and psychological burden of chronic kidney disease among older adults. Am J Nephrol 2010;31(4):309-317.
- 60. Tsai YC, Hung CC, Hwang SJ, et al. Quality of life predicts risks of end-stage renal disease and mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010;25(5):1621-1626.
- Valderrábano F, Jofre R, López-Gómez JM. Quality of life in endstage renal disease patients. Am J Kidney Dis 2001;38(3):443-464.
- Levin A, Stevens PE. Early detection of CKD: the benefits, limitations and effects on prognosis. Nat Rev Nephrol 2011;7(8):446-457.
- Tangri N, Peach EJ, Franzén S, et al. Patient Management and Clinical Outcomes Associated with a Recorded Diagnosis of Stage 3 Chronic Kidney Disease: The REVEAL-CKD Study. Adv Ther 2023;40(6):2869-2885.
- Blake C, Codd MB, Cassidy A, et al. Physical function, employment and quality of life in end-stage renal disease. J Nephrol 2000;13(2):142-149.
- 65. Urquhart-Secord R, Craig JC, Hemmelgarn B, et al. Patient and Caregiver Priorities for Outcomes in Hemodialysis: An International Nominal Group Technique Study. Am J Kidney Dis 2016;68(3):444-454.
- Bowling CB, Sawyer P, Campbell RC, et al. Impact of chronic kidney disease on activities of daily living in community-dwelling older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2011;66(6):689-694.
- 67. Morton RL, Snelling P, Webster AC, et al. Factors influencing patient choice of dialysis versus conservative care to treat end-stage kidney disease. Cmaj 2012;184(5):277-283.

- 68. Tong A, Manns B, Hemmelgarn B, et al. Establishing Core Outcome Domains in Hemodialysis: Report of the Standardized Outcomes in Nephrology-Hemodialysis (SONG-HD) Consensus Workshop. Am J Kidney Dis 2017;69(1):97-107.
- 69. Seery C, Buchanan S. The psychosocial needs of patients who have chronic kidney disease without kidney replacement therapy: a thematic synthesis of seven qualitative studies. J Nephrol 2022;35(9):2251-2267.
- Huang CW, Wee PH, Low LL, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for elevated anxiety symptoms and anxiety disorders in chronic kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2021;69(1):27-40.
- Bonner A, Wellard S, Caltabiano M. The impact of fatigue on daily activity in people with chronic kidney disease. J Clin Nurs 2010;19(21):3006-3015.
- Birhanu TE, Getachew B, Gerbi A, et al. Prevalence of poor sleep quality and its associated factors among hypertensive patients on follow up at Jimma University Medical Center. J Hum Hypertens 2021;35(1):94-100.
- Santin F, Canella DS, Avesani CM. Food Consumption in Chronic Kidney Disease: Association With Sociodemographic and Geographical Variables and Comparison With Healthy Individuals. Jour Renal Nutr 2019;29(4):333-342.
- 74. Shouket H, Gringart E, Drake D, et al. Machine-Dependent: The Lived Experiences of Patients Receiving Hemodialysis in Pakistan. Glob Qual Nurs Res 2022;9(1):1-12.
- 75. Molsted S, Wendelboe S, Flege MM, et al. The impact of marital and socioeconomic status on quality of life and physical activity in patients with chronic kidney disease. Int Urol Nephrol 2021;53(12):2577-2582.
- Fisher L, Chesla CA, Chun KM, et al. Patient-appraised couple emotion management and disease management among Chinese American patients with type 2 diabetes. J Fam Psychol 2004;18(2):302-310.
- 77. Zhou ES, Kim Y, Rasheed M, et al. Marital satisfaction of advanced prostate cancer survivors and their spousal caregivers: the dyadic effects of physical and mental health. Psychooncolog 2011;20(12):1353-1357.
- Schokker MC, Stuive I, Bouma J, et al. Support behavior and relationship satisfaction in couples dealing with diabetes: main and moderating effects. J Fam Psychol 2010;24(5):578-586.
- 79. Randall G, Molloy GJ, Steptoe A. The impact of an acute cardiac event on the partners of patients: A systematic review. Hea Psych Rev 2009;3(1):1-84.
- 80. Bodenmann G. Dyadic coping and its significance for marital functioning. BMC Prim Car 2005; 70(5):656-665.
- Lambert K, Mullan J, Mansfield K. An integrative review of the methodology and findings regarding dietary adherence in end stage kidney disease. BMC Nephrol 2017;18(1):318-333.
- National Kidney Foundation. Fluid Overload in a Dialysis Patient [Internet]. 2024 [accessed 2024 March 14]. Available from: https://www.kidney.org/atoz/content/fluid-overload-dialysispatient.
- Medlineplus. Diet chronic kidney disease [Internet]. 2023 [accessed 2024 March 14]. Available from: https://medlineplus. gov/ency/article/002442.htm.
- Sasmita ME. Liquid Restriction for Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease to Prevent The Risk of Fluid Overload. JIKO 2021;5(1):17-25.
- 85. Jankowski J, Floege J, Fliser D, et al. Cardiovascular Disease in Chronic Kidney Disease. Circulation 2021;143(11):1157-1172.
- Garrard H, Jones S. Fluid and electrolyte problems in renal dysfunction. Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Medicine 2018;19(5):224-227.

- Kestenbaum B, Rudser KD, de Boer IH, et al. Differences in kidney function and incident hypertension: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Ann Intern Med 2008;148(7):501-508.
- 88. Brantsma AH, Bakker SJ, de Zeeuw D, et al. Urinary albumin excretion as a predictor of the development of hypertension in the general population. J Am Soc Nephrol 2006;17(2):331-335.
- 89. Bidani AK, Griffin KA. Pathophysiology of hypertensive renal damage: implications for therapy. Hypertension 2004;44(5):595-601.
- 90. Subbiah AK, Chhabra YK, Mahajan S. Cardiovascular disease in patients with chronic kidney disease: a neglected subgroup. Heart Asia 2016;8(2):56-61.
- 91. Stefanski A, Schmidt KG, Waldherr R, et al. Early increase in blood pressure and diastolic left ventricular malfunction in patients with glomerulonephritis. Kidney Int 1996;50(4):1321-1326.
- 92. Berhe T, Tegegne GT, Berha AB. Quality of life and associated factors among chronic kidney disease patients at Zewditu Memorial and Tikur Anbessa Specialised Hospitals, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study design. BMJ Open 2023;13(6): 1-12.
- 93. Pugh D, Gallacher PJ, Dhaun N. Management of Hypertension in Chronic Kidney Disease. Drugs 2019;79(4):365-379.
- 94. Khan Y. Fluid Overload and Diuretics Prescribing in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients. Value Healt 2018;21(1): 69-76.
- 95. Flythe JE, Bansal N. The relationship of volume overload and its control to hypertension in hemodialysis patients. Semin Dial 2019;32(6):500-506.
- 96. Moser DK, Riegel B. Chapter 59 Disease Management in Heart Failure. In: Mann DL, ed. Heart Failure: A Companion to Braunwald's Heart Disease (Second Edition). Philad 2011; 1(1):854-866.
- 97. Kumar S, Berl T. Diseases of water metabolism Atlas of Diseases of the Kidney. Value Healt 1999;1(1): 1-22.
- Tsai Y-C, Tsai J-C, Chen S-C, et al. Association of Fluid Overload With Kidney Disease Progression in Advanced CKD: A Prospective Cohort Study. Amer Jour Kid Dise 2014;63(1):68-75.
- Yoon HE, Kwon YJ, Song HC, et al. Overhydration Negatively Affects Quality of Life in Peritoneal Dialysis Patients: Evidence from a Prospective Observational Study. Int J Med Sci 2016;13(9):686-695.
- 100. London GM. Cardiovascular disease in chronic renal failure: pathophysiologic aspects. Semin Dial 2003;16(2):85-94.
- 101. Khan YH, Sarriff A, Adnan AS, et al. Chronic Kidney Disease, Fluid Overload and Diuretics: A Complicated Triangle. PLoS One 2016;11(7): 1-17.
- 102. Davison SN, Koncicki H, Brennan F. Pain in chronic kidney disease: a scoping review. Semin Dial 2014;27(2):188-204.
- 103. Davison SN, Rathwell S, Ghosh S, et al. The Prevalence and Severity of Chronic Pain in Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Can J Kidney Health Dis 2021;8 (1): 1-20.
- 104. Lambourg E, Colvin L, Guthrie G, et al. The prevalence of pain among patients with chronic kidney disease using systematic review and meta-analysis. Kidney Intern 2021;100(3):636-649.
- 105. Siew ED, Ikizler TA. Insulin resistance and protein energy metabolism in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease. Semin Dial 2010;23(4):378-382.
- 106. Vaziri ND. Dyslipidemia of chronic renal failure: the nature, mechanisms, and potential consequences. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2006;290(2):262-272.
- 107. Moe S, Drücke T, Cunningham J, et al. Definition, evaluation, and classification of renal osteodystrophy: a position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney Int 2006;69(11):1945-1953.
- 108. Adams GR, Vaziri ND. Skeletal muscle dysfunction in chronic renal failure: effects of exercise. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2006;290(4):753-761.

- 109. Locatelli F, Pozzoni P, Tentori F, et al. Epidemiology of cardiovascular risk in patients with chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2003;18(7): 12-19.
- 110. Singer R, Huang HC. Weight change in chronic kidney disease: Association with mortality and kidney function. Obes Sci Pract 2024;10(1): 1-17.
- 111. Hruska KA, Mathew S, Rosen C, et al. Chronic kidney disease mineral bone disorder (CKD-MBD). Primer on the Metabolic Bone Diseases and Disorders of Mineral Metabolism. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2009; 1(1): 343-353.
- 112. Martin KJ, González EA. Metabolic bone disease in chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;18(3):875-885.