Bahrain Medical Bulletin, Vol. 34, No. 3, September 2012

Bladder Injury during Cesarean Section: A Case Control Study for 10 Years

Mesfer Al-Shahrani, MD, FRCSC*

Objective: To determine the incidence, risk factors and management of bladder injury during Caesarean section.

Design: Retrospective study.

Setting: Abha Maternity Hospital, Asir, Saudi Arabia.

Method: The medical records of all the patients who had bladder injury during Cesarean section in 10 years period (2000-2010) were reviewed. Two cases were chosen for every case of bladder injury as control. Personal characteristic, obstetric, surgical details, mechanism of injury, anatomic location, diagnosis, management and outcome were assessed for both (case and control) groups.

Result: Twenty-four cases of bladder injury were indentified among 10,765 Cesarean sections done during the study period, an incidence of 0.22%. Bladder injury occurred frequently with prior surgery including Cesarean section (62.5% versus 43.7%, p=0.022), presence of adhesions (95.8% versus 45.8%, p<0.0001), emergency Cesarean (87.5% versus 37.5%, p<0.0001) and placenta previa and or accreta (41.6% versus 2.1%, p<0.0001).

Conclusion: A prior Cesarean section, presence of abdominal and or bladder adhesions, emergency Cesarean and placenta previa and or accreta, all are significant risk factors for bladder injury during Cesarean section. Bladder injury should be a part of discussion with the patients requesting Cesarean section.

Bahrain Med Bull 2012; 34(3):

Bladder injury during Cesarean section could be associated with significant morbidity. It could lead to prolonged operative time, urinary tract infection and formation of vesicouterine or vesicovaginal fistula¹⁻³.

Most complications occurred during emergency Cesarean delivery; station before surgery, labor before surgery, low gestational age (≤ 32 weeks), rupture of chorionic membranes before surgery, prior Cesarean delivery and skill of operator were associated with an increased risk complications⁴.

* Assistant Professor of Obstetrics Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology College of Medicine King Khalid University Saudi Arabia Email: mesfersafar@yahoo.com Bladder injury during Cesarean section is uncommon but has significant morbidities. The incidence is about $0.104\% - 0.28\%^{5.6}$. Phipps et al, found that women with a bladder injury were more likely to have had a prior Cesarean delivery compared with the control group (67% versus 32%)⁵. Prior pelvic surgery and presence of adhesions are risk factors for bladder injury⁵.

To the best of my knowledge there is only one study regarding the bladder injury with Cesarean section in Saudi Arabia, but it was performed in different regions⁷.

The aim of the study was to determine the rate, risk factors and management of bladder injury during Caesarean section.

METHOD

Patients who had bladder injury during Cesarean section from 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2010 were included in this retrospective study. Any patient with ureteric injury alone and those who have injuries during other gynecological procedures were not included. Two cases of Cesarean sections without bladder injury for each case of bladder injury were used as control group.

In the hospital all high risk Cesareans would be done by a consultant obstetrician, other Cesareans will be supervised by obstetrics specialist.

The data were analyzed using SPSS 16.0 (2007); the sample was divided into two groups those with bladder injury and those without as the control group. Chi-square test was used for data analysis. Multivariate analysis using logistic regression was carried out with bladder injury as the final outcome. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated using logistic regression. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULT

Twenty-four cases of bladder injury were identified during Cesarean section in the study period; 10,765 Cesarean sections were performed during the study period. The Cesarean section rate had increased from about 15% in 2000 to 24.2% during 2010. The incidence of bladder injury in this study was 0.22%.

The personal and clinical characteristics of the women who had a bladder injury (case group) and those of the controls (no bladder injury) are shown in Table 1. Both groups were almost the same regarding their age, parity, gestational age at Cesarean section, type of pregnancy (multiple versus singleton), presence of labor or not, fetal position at delivery and those who had uterine scar dehiscence or rupture.

Characteristics	Cases Group	p-value	
	(n=24) (n=48)		L
	Nur		
Age			
<25 years	1 (4.2%)	8 (16.7%)	
26-35 years	16 (66.7%)	27 (56.3%)	0.39
>35 years	7 (29.2%)	13 (27.1%)	
Parity			
Multi	18 (75%)	41 (85.4%)	0.28
Grand Multi	6 (25%)	7 (4.6%)	
Gestational Age			
<32 weeks	3 (12.5%)	0 (0%)	0.49
33-37 weeks	6 (25%)	16 (33.3%)	
>37 weeks	15 (62.5%)	32 (6.7%)	
Multiple Pregnancy	1 (4.2%)	1 (2.1%)	0.61
Previous Abdominal or			
Pelvic Surgery	24 (100%)	23 (47.9%)	< 0.0001
Cosaraan Tyna			
<u>Elective</u>	3 (12.5%)	30 (62 5%)	<0.0001
Emergency	21 (87 5%)	18 (37 5%)	-0.0001
Number of Provious	21 (07.570)	10 (57.570)	
Cesarean			
I	2 (8 3%)	25 (52,1%)	
II	7 (29 2%)	2 (4 2%)	0.022
III	11 (45.8%)	12(25%)	
IV	4 (16.7%)	9 (18.7%)	
Midline Skin Incision	5 (20.8%)	1 (2.1%)	0.013
Adhesions		- (, -)	
No	1 (4 2%)	26 (54 2%)	<0 0001
Mild-moderate	5 (20.8%)	16 (33.3%)	0.0001
Severe	18 (75%)	6 (12.5%)	
Bladder Adherent to	22 (05 00()	17 (25 40/)	-0.0001
Uterus	23 (95.8%)	17 (35.4%)	< 0.0001
Bladder Flap Done	11 (45.8%)	34 (70.8%)	0.026
Type of Placenta	X /		
Normal	14 (58.3%)	47 (97.9%)	<0.0001
Previa	2 (8.3%)	1 (2.1%)	<0.0001
Accreta	8 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	
Estimated Blood Loss			
<700 ml	7 (29.2%)	39 (81.2%)	
700-1000 ml	6 (25%)	9 (18.7%)	< 0.0001
>1000 ml	11 (45.8%)	0 (0%)	
Presence of labor	17 (70.8%)	16 (33.3%)	0.22
<u>Fetal Position</u>			
Vertex	23 (95.8%)	37 (77.1%)	
Breech	1 (4.2%)	11 (22.9%)	0.35
Birth Weight	10 (41 70 ()	0 (00/)	
<2500 grams	10 (41.7%)	0 (0%)	0.001
2500-3500 grams	11 (45.8%)	40 (85.5%)	0.001
->>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	5(12.3%)	ð (10./%)	0.22
	1 (4.2%)	0 (0%)	0.33
Uterine Scar Dehiscence	2 (8.3%)	1 (2.1%)	0.22

Table 1: Personal and Clinical Characteristics of Cases and Controls

Women who had prior Cesarean (3 or more) were prone to bladder injury (62.5% versus 43.7%, P=0.022). Women who had emergency Cesarean sections were prone to bladder injury (87.5% versus 37.5%, P<0.0001). Women who had intra abdominal adhesions were prone to bladder injury (95.8% versus 45.8%, P<0.0001). The bladder was more adherent to the lower uterine segment in the case group (95.8% versus 35.4%, P<0.0001).

Women who had placenta previa and/or accreta were prone to bladder injury (41.6% versus 2.1%, P<0.0001). Patients with bladder injury tend to have more blood loss (>1000 ml) during Cesarean section (45.8% versus 0%, P<0.0001).

Table 2 shows the timing and location of bladder injury. All the cases were identified during the Cesarean section except two cases, which were identified in the recovery room due to frank hematuria in the urinary catheter bag; the two cases were taken to operating room and a urologist was involved in the bladder repair.

 Table 2: Timing and Location of Bladder Injury and the Number of Previous Cesarean

 Sections

	Num	ber of	Previ	ous Cesa	rean Section
	Ι	II	III	≥IV	P value
Time of Bladder Injury					
During Cesarean	2	6	10	4	0.72
Postoperative	0	1	1	0	
Timing of Injury during Cesarean					
<u>Section</u>					
Entry to Peritoneal Cavity	2	5	3	1	
Bladder Flap	0	0	2	0	0.16
Uterine Incision and Delivery	0	1	5	3	
Location of Bladder Injury					
Anterior	1	4	1	1	
Posterior	0	0	6	2	0.63
Dome	1	3	4	1	

Eleven (45.8%) cases of bladder injury occurred during entry to peritoneal cavity, 2 (8.3%) cases occurred during bladder flap creation and 9 (37.5%) cases occurred during uterine incision and/or delivery. The bladder injured at anterior bladder wall in 7 (29.2%), 8 (33.3%) at the posterior bladder wall and 9 (37.5%) at the dome of the bladder.

Table 3 shows multivariate logistic regression model investigating the risk factors for bladder injury. Previous abdominal/pelvic surgery, including previous Cesarean section, was associated with 5-fold increase in the risk of bladder injury.

Table 3: Regression Analysis of Bladder Injury Risk Factors

Factor	OR	95% CI
Abdominal Adhesions	6.31	3.60 - 9.21
Bladder Adherent to Uterus	5.73	4.32 - 8.40
Cesarean Type	4.73	3.83 - 8.70
Number of Previous Cesarean Sections	2.48	1.81 - 3.04
Previous Abdominal or Pelvic Surgery	5.16	1.04 - 6.92
Type of Placenta	5.33	3.44 - 9.40

Abdominal adhesions and bladder adhesions to the uterus were associated with 6-fold and 5fold of bladder injury respectively. Emergency Cesarean section has 4-fold increase in the risk of bladder injury. Placenta previa and accreta were associated with 5-fold increase of bladder injury.

DISCUSSION

The bladder injury during Cesarean section varies from 0.0016% to $0.94\%^{8.9}$. In this study, an incidence of 0.22% was found. The incidence does not appear to have changed in the last half of the century. Another study from Saudi Arabia found that the incidence of bladder injury is $0.44\%^7$.

Risk factors for bladder injury during Cesarean section were investigated before. Prior Caesarean delivery accounted for 67% of the bladder injuries, while in the controls the rate of prior Caesarean delivery was $32\%^5$.

In a recent study, prior Caesarean delivery have accounted for 72.4%. In the control group, the incidence of prior Caesarean delivery was 34.2%, 5-fold increase in the risk of bladder injury with prior Cesarean section¹⁰.

In this study, women with a bladder injury tend to have prior Cesarean (3 or more) compared with those without bladder injury (62.5% versus 30.7%; p=0.022), 5-fold increase in the risk of bladder injury.

Intra-abdominal adhesions and adhesions between bladder and the uterus will increase the risk of bladder injury; 6-fold increase in the risk of bladder injury with abdominal adhesions and 5-fold increase in the risk with bladder adhesions to the uterus, both consistent with other studies^{5,6,10}.

In this study, emergency Cesarean section is a significant risk factor for bladder injury compared to elective Cesarean section; this finding is similar to previous studies^{4,5,10}. Other studies found that elective Cesarean was a risk factor for bladder injury¹¹.

In this study, placenta previa and or accrete are risk factors for bladder injury. A study found that 3 out of 5 patients with placenta percreta have bladder injury even with proper preoperative imaging evaluation¹².

Non-recognized bladder injury during CS could lead to vesicovaginal fistula, vesical calculi and urinary ascites. Visual inspection is the most reliable method of assessing bladder integrity and is often the only diagnostic mode available. Intra-operative findings, which suggest bladder perforation include extravasation of urine, visible laceration, the appearance of Foley catheter, sudden increase in bleeding from the wound and the presence of bloody urine in the bag. The intravesical instillation of methylene blue or indigo carmine through the Foley catheter is helpful selective intra-operative adjunct, but it should be deferred until careful inspection is completed because the colored dye can obscure the surgical field. Most bladder perforations can be repaired using vesicorrhaphy performed intravesically with twolayer closure using absorbable suture material. Permanent non-absorbable sutures should never be used because of their propensity to produce calculi, granulomas and recurrent urinary tract infections. In this study, 22 cases of 24 were recognized during surgery, a urologist was consulted for the bladder repair and postoperative management; all recovered without any long term complications. The other two cases were recognized in the recovery room due to frank hematuria; both cases were repaired immediately by a urologist.

CONCLUSION

Prior Cesarean section, presence of abdominal and or bladder adhesions, emergency Cesarean and placenta previa and or accreta are significant risk factors for bladder injury during Cesarean section. Bladder injury should be part of discussion with patients requesting Cesarean section.

Potential conflicts of interest: No

Competing interest: None Sponsorship: None

Submission date: 20 April 2012 Acceptance date: 1 July 2012

Ethical approval: Approved by the local departmental ethics committee.

REFERENCES

- 1. Vu KK, Brittain PC, Fontenot JP, et al. Vesicouterine Fistula after Cesarean Section: A Case Report. J Reprod Med 1995; 40(3): 221-2.
- 2. Buckspan MB, Simha S, Klotz PG. Vesicouterine Fistula: A Rare Complication of Cesarean Section. Obstet Gynecol 1983; 62(3 Suppl): 64s-6.
- 3. Iloabachie GC, Njoku O. Vesico-uterine fistula. Br J Urol 1985; 57(4): 438-9.
- 4. Nielsen TF, Hokegard KH. Cesarean Section and Intraoperative Surgical Complications. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1984; 63(2): 103-8.
- 5. Phipps MG, Watabe B, Clemons JL, et al. Risk Factors for Bladder Injury during Cesarean Delivery. Obstet Gynecol 2005; 105(1): 156-60.
- 6. Rao D, Yu H, Zhu H, et al. The Diagnosis and Treatment of Iatrogenic Ureteral and Bladder Injury Caused by Traditional Gynaecology and Obstetrics Operation. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2012; 285(3): 763-5.
- Rahman MS, Gasem T, Al Suleiman SA, et al. Bladder Injuries during Cesarean Section in a University Hospital: A 25-year Review. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2009; 279(3): 349-52.
- 8. Zelop C, Heffner LJ. The Downside of Cesarean Delivery: Short and long-term Complications. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2004; 47(2): 386-93.
- 9. Yossepowitch O, Baniel J, Livne PM. Urological Injuries during Caesarean Section: Intraoperative Diagnosis and Management. J Urology 2004; 172(1): 196-9.
- 10. Rajasekar D, Hall M. Urinary Tract Injuries during Obstetric Intervention. Br J Obstet and Gynaecol 1997; 104(8): 731-4.
- 11. Gungorduk K, Asicioglu O, Celikkol O, et al. Iatrogenic Bladder Injuries during Caesarean Delivery: A Case Control Study. J Obstet Gynaecol 2010; 30(7): 667-70.
- 12. Ng MK, Jack GS, Bolton DM, et al. Placenta Percreta with Urinary Tract Involvement: The Case for a Multidisciplinary Approach. Urology 2009; 74(4): 778-82.